

# UNITED STATES ARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/114,203	07/13/98	MIYANISHI		Α	030682-066
			$\neg$		EXAMINER
021839				DAHMETETED D	
BURNS DOANE P O BCX 140		MATHIS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	VA 22313-14	04		2815 DATE MAILED:	
					12/22/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/114,203 Applicant

Miyanishi et al.

Examiner

William Baumeister

Group Art Unit 2815



its is closed
s, whichever vill cause the visions of
pplication.
onsideration.
equirement.
,

Application/Control Number: 09/114,203

Art Unit: 2815

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 1; Species II: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 2; Species III: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 3; Species IV: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 4; Species V: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 5: Species VI: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 6; Species VII: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 7: Species VIII: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 8; Species IX: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 9; The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 10; Species X: Species XI: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 11: Species XII: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 12: Species XIII: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 13; Species XIV: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 14; The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 15; Species XV: Species XVI: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 17;

Art Unit: 2815

Species XVII: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 18;

Species XVIII: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 20;

Species XIX: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 21;

Species XX: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 23;

Species XXI: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 24;

Species XXII: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 25;

Species XXIII: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 26;

Species XXIV: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 27; and Species XXV: The embodiment of the gate electrode structure depicted in FIG. 28.

- 2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear generic.
- 3. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

Application/Control Number: 09/114,203

1001. 07/114,203

Art Unit: 2815

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 4. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/114,203

Page 5

Art Unit: 2815

### INFORMATION ON HOW TO CONTACT THE USPTO

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner, **B. William Baumeister**, whose telephone number is (703) 306-9165. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

B. William Baumeister

December 20, 1999

Jerzopa Jackson, Jr.